



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,341	01/04/2002	Seemab Aslam Kadri	42390P13126	7288
8791	7590	10/19/2006	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR				
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2132	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,341	KADRI, SEEMAB ASLAM	
	Examiner Samson B. Lemma	Art Unit 2132	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/18/03</u>	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on August 7, 2006.

Claims 1-35 are pending/examined.

2. Amendment made to the specification is received.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's remark/arguments filed on August 7, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. After considering what has been presented by applicant argument, it is been confirmed that application is trying to indicate the fact that the firewall indicated on figure 1 is a closed boundary that isolates the private network from the external network. If that is the case, then Examiner would point out that the reference on the record actually discloses the limitation recited in the independent claim.

Applicant argued that the reference on the record namely Traversat (U.S. Publication number: 2002/0143855A1) fails to teach the limitation, "the internal peer being registered internally inside the firewall." Applicant further argued that Traversat fails to teach the limitation, "a distributor coupled to the collector to distribute the message to the registered internal peer if there is a match in address information of the message and the registered internal peers." **Examiner disagrees.**

Examiner would point out that the limitation recited above, "the internal peer being registered internally **inside the firewall**" raised a question since applicant had not explicitly points out the "firewall" in the figure 1. If what the applicant is trying to indicate by the term, "inside", is to mean behind/across the firewall then that is understandable, and it is something which is disclosed by the reference on the record.

Art Unit: 2132

The disclosure page 4, paragraph 0011, recites the following, "the internal contact point may also include a register to register the internal peer for external communication **across the firewall**".

Therefore the examiner previous interpretation of the argued limitation as "**the internal peer being registered for external communication across the firewall**" instead of "the internal peers being registered **inside** the firewall for an external communication across the firewall, is still correct.

Furthermore, Examiner would point out that Travesat on paragraph 0463 discloses peer group **registration across a firewall according to one embodiment**. Peer region **212A** is shown outside **of a firewall 248** and peer region **212B** is behind **or inside the firewall 248**. Peer region **212A** includes a peer-to-peer platform proxy service **270** and several peers **200**. In one embodiment, a peer **200** serving as a proxy peer that provides the proxy service **270**. Peer region **212B** includes several peers **200** behind/inside the firewall **248**. At some point, peer **200D** in peer region **212B** may form a peer group **210**. **An advertisement for the peer group 210 is registered on the proxy service 270 in the region 212A**. One or more peers **200** in region **212A** is notified of the newly registered peer group **200** by the proxy service **270** which meets the limitation of **the internal peer being registered for an external communication across the firewall**.

Furthermore, Examiner would point out that **Travesat** on figure 20, reference "200D" or "200E" OR figure 21, reference "200C" or "200D" and paragraph "0033" discloses the fact that any peer in a peer group can become a relay peer therefore either of the peers inside the firewall shown on figure 20 reference 200D or 200E or figure 21, reference 200C or 200D can be used as relay peers.

Relay peer receives message from the source peer as explained on figure 31 reference "522" and also these relay peers which is explained to be either of the reference 200D or 200E is coupled to the other internal peer like peer "200F" as shown on figure 25. Either of these peers first collect the message and then

routes/distributes the message to destination peers using the cached route information as explained on figure 31, reference "524" and **this meets the recitation of a collector to collect a message intended for an internal peer inside a firewall as well as a distributor coupled to the collector to distribute the message to the internal peer and distributing of the message to the internal peer inherently includes the matching in the address information of the message and the registered internal peers.**

Examiner also asserts the fact that Traversat on paragraph 0048, discloses that, a relay peer may maintain information on routes to other peers, and assist in relaying messages to other peers. Relay peers may maintain routing tables which may be used in relaying messages to their destination. The relay peer may keep information about routes that it discovers and store them in the route table. This allows the relay peer to build a knowledge base (the route table) about the network topology as more messages flow on the system. Route information may include, but is not limited to, the peer identifier of the source, the peer identifier of the destination, a time-to-live (TTL) for the route, and an ordered sequence of gateway peer identifiers. **Like wise on paragraph 0380,** Traversat discloses the fact that the endpoint routing protocol define a set of request/query messages that is processed by a routing service to help a peer route messages to its destination. When a peer is asked to send a message to a given peer endpoint address, it looks in its local cache to determine if it has a cached route to this peer and this meets the limitation of distributing the message to the registered internal peers if there is a match in address information of the message and the registered internal peers.

Art Unit: 2132

Therefore all the elements of the limitations of the independent claims 1,11,21 and 31 are explicitly/implicitly/inherently suggested and disclosed by the reference on the record namely Traversat.

The next argument by the applicant is with regard to the dependent claims which are dependent on the independent claims 1, 11, 21 and 31.

Applicant argued that the dependent claims which are dependent on the independent claims 1, 11, 21 and 31 are allowable for the reason that **Traversat** fail to anticipate the limitations that is argued above.

Examiner disagrees with this argument.

In response to the above argument by the applicant, the examiner asserts that the dependent claims stands and falls with independent claims.

Therefore all the elements of the limitations is explicitly/implicitly/inherently suggested and disclosed by the reference on the record in particular **Traversat** and the rejection remains valid unless the claims are further amended and successfully overcome the rejection without introducing a new matter. Though the application could have allowable subject matter, at least the independent claims have not yet been written to overcome the ground of the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international

Art Unit: 2132

application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 1-35** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Traversat et al.** (hereinafter referred as **Traversat**) (U.S. Publication number: 2002/0143855A1, which **Claims Priority from Provisional Application No 60,263573 filed on Jan 22, 2001**)
6. **As per claims 1, 11, 21 and 31** Traversat discloses an apparatus/method/system/a gateway device comprising: a **collector inside the firewall to collect a message intended for an internal peer inside a firewall** [figure 20, reference “200D” or “200E” OR figure 21, reference “200C” or “200D”] **via a gateway device at the firewall**,[figure 21, reference “260” or figure 20, reference “246”] **the message being transmitted by an external peer outside the firewall**; [figure 20 and 21, reference “200A” or “200B”] **the internal peer being registered for an external communication across the firewall** [Paragraph 0063] (Traversat on paragraph 0063 discloses that a peer group registration across a firewall according to one embodiment. Peer region 212A is shown outside of a firewall 248 and peer region 212B is behind or inside the firewall 248. Peer region 212A includes a peer-to-peer platform proxy service 270 and several peers 200. In one embodiment, a peer 200 serving as a proxy peer that provides the proxy service 270. Peer region 212B includes several peers 200 behind/inside the firewall 248. At some point, peer 200D in peer region 212B may form a peer group 210. An advertisement for the peer group 210 is registered on the proxy service 270 in the region 212A. One or more peers 200 in region 212A is notified of the newly registered peer group 200 by the

proxy service 270 which meets the limitation of the internal peer being registered for an external communication across the firewall.)

and a distributor coupled to the collector to distribute the message to the internal peer if there is a match in address information of the message and the registered internal peer. [figure 20, reference "200D" or "200E" OR figure 21, reference "200C" or "200D" and paragraph 0048 and paragraph 0380] (As explained on paragraph "0033" any peer in a peer group can become a relay peer therefore either of the peers inside the firewall shown on figure 20 reference 200D or 200E or figure 21, reference 200C or 200D can be used as relay peers. Relay peer receives message form the source peer as explained on figure 31 reference "522" and also these relay peers which is explained to be either of the reference 200D or 200E is coupled to the other internal peer like peer "200F" as shown on figure 25. Either of these peers first collect the message and then routes/distributes the message to destination peers using the cached route information as explained on figure 31, reference "524" and this meets the recitation of a collector to collect a message intended for an internal peer inside a firewall as well as a distributor coupled to the collector to distribute the message to the internal peer **and the distributing of the message to the internal peer inherently includes the matching in the address information of the message and the registered internal peers.** Likewise, Traversat on paragraph 0048, discloses that, a relay peer may maintain information on routes to other peers, and assist in relaying messages to other peers. Relay peers may maintain routing tables which may be used in relaying messages to their destination. The relay peer may keep information about routes that it discovers and store them in the route table. This allows the relay peer to build a knowledge base (the route table) about the network topology as more messages flow on the system. Route information may include, but is not limited to, the peer identifier of the source, the peer identifier of the destination, a time-to-live (TTL) for the route, and an ordered sequence

of gateway peer identifiers. Furthermore on paragraph 0380, Traversat discloses the fact that the endpoint routing protocol define a set of request/query messages that is processed by a routing service to help a peer route messages to its destination. When a peer is asked to send a message to a given peer endpoint address, it looks in its local cache to determine if it has a cached route to this peer and this meets the limitation of distributing the message to the registered internal peers if there is a match in address information of the message and the registered internal peers.)

7. **As per claims 2,12, 22 and 32** Traversat discloses an apparatus/method/system/ a gateway device as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system further comprising: a gateway interface to interface internally to the firewall to the gateway device. [Figure 21, reference "260"]

8. **As per claims 3,13, 23** Traversat discloses an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system wherein the gateway interface establishes a continuous connection to a relay server outside the firewall through tunneling.[Page 37, reference "0456"]. (Peers 200A and 200B may access peers 200D and 200E through firewall 248. In one embodiment, HTTP "tunnels" may be used, with proxies 246 in the "DMZ" of the firewall 248.)

9. **As per claims 4-5, 14-15 and 24-25** Traversat discloses an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system wherein the collector registers to the relay server to act as an external contact point for the external peer. [Page 23, paragraph

Art Unit: 2132

“0292”] (A rendezvous peer may be described as a meeting point where peers and/or peer groups may register to be discovered, and may also discover other peers and/or peer groups, and retrieve information on discovered peers and/or peer groups.)

10. **As per claims 6, 16, 26 and 33** **Traversat discloses** an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system wherein the gateway device is one of a firewall and a network translation address (NAT) device. [figure 21, reference “260”]

11. **As per claims 7-8, 17-18, 27-28 and 34** **Traversat discloses** an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system further comprising: a registrar to register the internal peer for external communication across the firewall. [Figure 25]

12. **As per claims 9, 19 and 29** **Traversat discloses** an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system wherein the collector collects an internal message from a registered internal peer.[figure 21, reference “200C” and Page 23, paragraph “0292”] to be transmitted to the external peer. [Figure 21, reference “200A” or “200B”]

13. **As per claims 10, 20 and 30** **Traversat discloses** an apparatus/method/system/ as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses an apparatus/method/system wherein the distributor [figure 21, reference “200C” or reference “200D”] distributes the collected internal message to the external peer [Figure 21, reference “ 200A”, or reference “200B”] via the gateway device.[Figure 21, reference “260”]

14. **As per claim 35** **Traversat discloses** gateway device as applied to claims above. Furthermore **Traversat** discloses the gateway device further comprising: a relay

Art Unit: 2132

server [figure 25, reference "270"] **[As explained on paragraph "0033" any peer in a peer group can become a relay peer, therefore the proxy service shown on figure 25, reference "270" can act as a relay server]** to interface to a number of external peers outside the firewall.[figure 25, references "200A-200C"] **[the proxy service/relay server interfaces with a number of external peers outside the firewall as shown on figure 25, references 200A, 200B and 200C]**

Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-272-3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA
S.L.
10/10/2006

Gilberto Barron Jr.
GILBERTO BARRON JR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100